DAMTP-R/96/7
gr-qc/9608025
(Updated version)

arXiv:gr-qc/9608025v3 10 Sep 1996

SYMMETRIC VARIATIONS OF THE METRIC AND EXTREMA OF THE ACTION FOR PURE GRAVITY

Simon Davis

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
University of Cambridge
Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, England

Abstract. Symmetries of generalized gravitational actions, yielding field equations which typically involve at most second-order derivatives of the metric, are considered. The field equations for several different higher-derivative theories in the first-order formalism are derived, and variations of a generic set of higher-order curvature terms appearing in string effective actions are studied. It is shown that there often exists a particular set of solutions to the field equations of pure gravity theories, consisting of different combinations of curvature tensors, which satisfies the vacuum equations with cosmological constant. Implications of generalized symmetries of the field equations derived from the superstring effective action for the cosmological constant problem are discussed.

1. Introduction

Recent interest in generalized gravitational actions [1][2][3] has arisen because of the appearance of higher-derivative curvature terms in superstring effective actions and the extension of the Hilbert-Palatini formalism using different choices of canonical variables for the phase space of general relativity [4][5][6]. These generalizations have been studied with the understanding that they will allow a more flexible interpretation of gravity at the level of the classical action and its quantization, while still retaining the framework of the Einstein gravitational field equations in the classical limit. One of the consequences of this approach is that additional symmetries, besides diffeomorphism invariance, can be incorporated, especially in the context of superstring theory.

These symmetries can be used to derive new results about the cosmological constant. Although the cosmological constant problem, as it is presently stated [7][8], concerns the contrast between the sum of zero-point energy densities of fields in elementary-particle Lagrangians at the symmetry breaking scales and the observed value of the vacuum energy density, the *classical* version of the problem, initially arising in the replacement of de Sitter space by the Friedman-Robertson-Walker universe [7], can be extended to fitting all realistic cosmological models with metrics corresponding to $\Lambda \simeq 0$. This version of the problem, relevant at macroscopic scales, cannot be solved using classical techniques applied to the standard Einstein-Hilbert action, and quantum fluctuations of the metric at Planck scales do not necessarily determine the cosmological structure at large scales.

The classical version of the cosmological constant problem can be studied within the context of a generalized gravitational action. Generalizations of the derivation of the field equations from the Einstein-Hilbert action shall be considered from several different viewpoints. First, in a preliminary study of variations of the standard action with respect to both symmetric and non-symmetric metrics, the distinctions between the two cases will be noted in the variation of $\sqrt{-g}$ with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ and adapted to the tetrad formalism. Second, the difference in the functional derivative with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ suggests the consideration of variations of actions which include arbitrary coefficients multiplying

$g_{\mu\nu}$. A suitable averaging of such integrals, initially written in a different form from the standard Einstein-Hilbert action, is used to derive a set of field equations which limit the size of the cosmological constant when there are gravitational perturbations. Third, higher-derivative actions, particularly those which arise in string theory, are used to place the cosmological constant in a different setting. For example, it is recalled in §5 how the vacuum Einstein field equations with non-zero cosmological constant can be derived from an action containing higher-order curvature terms but no cosmological constant term. It is also noted how the additional symmetries associated with string theory have been used to shift the value of the cosmological constant. The concept of the most general class of symmetries of the gravitational field equations is developed further in §7 to demonstrate the special place of the $\Lambda = 0$ sector in the space of solutions to the field equations. Scale transformations are then used to provide a resolution to the classical version of the cosmological constant problem. Finally, the results in this paper are discussed in the context of other attempts to resolve the quantum version of the cosmological constant problem.

2. Symmetric and Non-Symmetric Metric Variations of the Action

As the field equations derived from the action

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g(x)}\,(R\ -2\Lambda)\ +\ \int d^4x\sqrt{-g(x)}L_{matter} \tag{1}$$

depend on the variation of the determinant g, the expansion into minors

$$det(g_{\mu\nu})\ =\ \sum_{\nu}(-1)^{\mu+\nu}\,[M(g)]_{\mu\nu}g_{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

with $[M(g)]_{\mu\nu}$ being the determinant of the 3×3 matrix excluding the μ^{th} column and the ν^{th} row, can be used to obtain the term proportional to $g_{\mu\nu}$. When $\mu\neq\nu$, $[M(g)]_{\mu\nu}$ includes the entry $g_{\nu\mu}$. If the symmetry of the metric is not imposed, then $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\nu\mu}$ may be regarded as independent variables [9][10][11]. Under these conditions, $[M(g)]_{\mu\nu}$ is independent of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and

$$\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\ =\ (-1)^{\mu+\nu}[M(g)]_{\mu\nu} \tag{3}$$

Since $g \cdot g^{\mu\nu} \;=\; (-1)^{\mu+\nu}[M(g)]_{\nu\mu}$, equality of $\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$ with $g \cdot g^{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained when $[M(g)]$ is a symmetric matrix.

An alternative expression for the determinant utilizes the splitting of the new metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ into the original metric $\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and the variation $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$.

$$det(g_{\mu\nu}) \;=\; det(\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}) \;=\; \sum_{\nu} (-1)^{\mu+\nu}\; [M(\overset{\circ}{g} + \delta g)]_{\mu\nu}(\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} \;+\; \delta g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{4}$$

As

$$[M(\overset{\circ}{g} + \delta g)]_{\mu\nu} \;=\; [M(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\mu\nu} \;+\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\partial [M(g)]_{\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}\Big|_{M(g)=M(\overset{\circ}{g})} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} \;+\; ... \tag{5}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} \;=\; (-1)^{\mu+\nu}\; [M(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\mu\nu} \tag{6}$$

when $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is non-symmetric, and

$$\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} \;=\; (-1)^{\mu+\nu}\; [M(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\mu\nu} \;+\; \sum_{\nu} (-1)^{\alpha+\nu} \frac{\partial [M(g)]_{\alpha\nu}}{\partial g_{\nu\mu}}\Big|_{M(g)=M(\overset{\circ}{g})} \overset{\circ}{g}_{\alpha\nu} \tag{7}$$

when $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric and $\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} \;=\; \overset{\circ}{g}_{\nu\mu}$.

The symmetry of the background metric is sufficient for the equality of $\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}}$ and $\overset{\circ}{g}\overset{\circ}{g}^{\mu\nu}$ when $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is non-symmetric. The equality no longer holds for general metrics, for which $[M(g)]_{\mu\nu} \neq [M(g)]_{\nu\mu}$. Thus, for non-symmetric variations $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$, the term proportional to the metric arises in the field equations only for the backgrounds with symmetric metric $\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

In the first-order formalism, variations of the gravitational action with respect to both $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ are considered, so that the connection is shown to be Levi-Civita and the field equations are derived for the metric. The restriction to the symmetric background is also required for the variation of the Ricci scalar. Defining the curvature tensor by parallel transport around the integral curves of two independent vector fields, it can be shown that

$$(\delta\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda})_{;\nu} \;-\; (\delta\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu})_{;\lambda} \;=\; \delta R_{\mu\nu} \;+\; 2T^{\rho}{}_{\nu\lambda}\delta\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\rho} \tag{8}$$

where $T^{\rho}{}_{\nu\lambda} = \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{[\nu\lambda]}$ is the torsion tensor. Since

$$T^{\rho}{}_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\tau}(\delta g_{[\tau\lambda],\nu} + \delta g_{[\nu\tau],\lambda} - \delta g_{[\nu\lambda],\tau}) \tag{9}$$

it will vanish for the symmetric background and

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} = (\delta\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda})_{;\nu}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} - (\delta\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu})_{;\lambda}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} \tag{10}$$

$$\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\delta R_{\mu\nu}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}[\sqrt{-\overset{\circ}{g}}(\overset{\circ}{g}^{\mu\nu}\delta\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda} - \overset{\circ}{g}^{\mu\lambda}\,\delta\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\lambda})] \tag{11}$$

As the integral can be transformed to one associated with a surface integral, which is zero when $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and its first derivatives vanish at infinity, the variation of the action becomes

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G}\delta\int d^4x\sqrt{-g(x)}(R-2\Lambda)\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}=\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-\overset{\circ}{g}}(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\overset{\circ}{R}g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu})\delta g^{\mu\nu} \tag{12}$$

for non-symmetric variations $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$. The restriction to the background metric $\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}$ implies that only the tangent vector in the directions of the non-symmetric variations $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ vanishes at the solutions of the field equations

$$\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\,\overset{\circ}{R}\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{13}$$

For symmetric variations $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$, the torsion tensor in equation (9) is zero, but the extra term in equation (7) contributes to the variation of the action as

$$\frac{\partial[M(g)]_{\alpha\nu}}{\partial g_{\nu\mu}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\mu+\nu}\,[M'(g)]_{\alpha\nu,\nu\mu} & when\ \alpha<\nu<\mu\ or\ \alpha>\nu>\mu \\ (-1)^{\mu+\nu+1}[M'(g)]_{\alpha\nu,\nu\mu} & when\ \alpha<\nu,\ \mu<\nu\ or\ \alpha>\nu,\ \mu>\nu \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where $[M'(g)]_{\alpha\nu,\nu\mu}$ is the determinant of the 2×2 matrix excluding the α^{th} and ν^{th} rows and the μ^{th} and ν^{th} columns. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \alpha<\nu<\mu \\ or \\ \alpha>\nu>\mu}}(-1)^{\alpha+\nu}\,\overset{\circ}{g}_{\alpha\nu}[M'(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\alpha\nu,\nu\mu} &= \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \alpha<\nu,\mu<\nu \\ or \\ \alpha>\nu,\mu>\mu}}(-1)^{\alpha+\nu+1}\overset{\circ}{g}_{\alpha\nu}[M'(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\alpha\nu,\nu\mu} \\ &= [M(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\nu\mu}\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for symmetric variations $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}\,=\,\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} \;=\; (-1)^{\mu+\nu}\;([M(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\mu\nu}\;+\;[M(\overset{\circ}{g})]_{\nu\mu})\;=\;\overset{\circ}{g}\cdot(\overset{\circ}{g}^{\mu\nu}\;+\;\overset{\circ}{g}^{\nu\mu}) \qquad (16)$$

so that $\delta g \;=\; g\cdot g^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}$, and the variation of the gravitational action is again given by equation (12). While the field equations remain unchanged, one may note the factor of two implicit in equation (16). This factor has been omitted sometimes in previous derivations of the field equations [12][13], presumably because it is absorbed in the summation over the indices μ and ν. It can be confirmed for Euclidean metrics by the calculation of the variation of the determinant represented as $exp[tr\;ln(\delta_{\mu\nu}\;+\;h_{\mu\nu})]$.

This property of the variation δg is also evident when components other than those obtained by interchange of the indices are dependent. When

$$g_{\mu\nu} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \alpha & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & \alpha & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \qquad (17)$$

$\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{00}}\big|_{\alpha=1,\epsilon=0} \;=\; \frac{\partial g}{\partial \alpha} \;=\; 4$ while $g\cdot g^{00}\big|_{\alpha=1,\epsilon=0} \;=\; 1$, but

$$g(g^{00}\delta g_{00}\;+\;g^{11}\delta g_{11}\;+\;g^{22}\delta g_{22}\;+\;g^{33}\delta g_{33})\big|_{\alpha=1,\epsilon=0} \;=\; 4\;\delta\alpha \qquad (18)$$

Similarly, if

$$g_{\mu\nu} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \alpha_1 & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & \alpha_2 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{01}} \;=\; \frac{\partial g}{\partial \epsilon} \;=\; & \alpha_0(6\epsilon^2 - 2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\epsilon) \\ & -\;2\epsilon(\alpha_1\alpha_2+\alpha_1\alpha_3+\alpha_2\alpha_3)\;+\;6(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\epsilon^2 - 12\epsilon^3 \end{aligned} \qquad (20)$$

while $g\cdot g^{01} \;=\; -\epsilon(\alpha_2-\epsilon)(\alpha_3-\epsilon)$ but

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(g\cdot g^{01}\delta g_{01} + g\cdot g^{02}\delta g_{02} + g\cdot g^{03}\delta g_{03} + g\cdot g^{12}\delta g_{12} + g\cdot g^{13}\delta g_{13} + g\cdot g^{23}\delta g_{23}) \\ & \qquad = \;[\alpha_0(6\epsilon^2 - 2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\epsilon) - 2\epsilon(\alpha_1\alpha_2+\alpha_1\alpha_3+\alpha_2\alpha_3) \\ & \qquad\qquad + 6(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\epsilon^2 - 12\epsilon^3]\;\delta\epsilon \end{aligned} \qquad (21)$$

consistent with the equality of δg and $g \cdot g^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}$.

In an alternative derivation of the field equations using the tetrad formulation of the action

$$S \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int_M d^4x\; \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}\left[\; e^a_\mu e^b_\nu R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{cd}(\omega) \;-\; \frac{\Lambda}{3}e^a_\mu e^b_\nu e^c_\rho e^d_\sigma\right] \tag{22}$$

one uses the variation of the determinant of the tetrad

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta(det\ e)}{\delta\ e_\mu{}^a} \;&= (e^{-1})^{[a]}{}_{[\mu]}\ (det\ e)\\ [a] \;&= space-time\ index\ in\ the\ same\ numerical\ order\ as\ a\\ [\mu] \;&= tangent\ space\ index\ in\ the\ same\ numerical\ order\ as\ \mu\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

which follows from the expansion of minors as it did for the non-symmetric metric. This nomenclature is being used to clarify the connection between the the tetrad and its inverse.

The inverse tetrad satisfies $(e^{-1})^{[b]}{}_{[\mu]}\ e_{[b]}{}^a \;=\; \delta_{[\mu]}{}^a$. Defining the matrix (e^{-1}) to be $(e^\mu{}_a)$, the relation satisfied by the inverse tetrad becomes $e^\mu{}_a e_\mu{}^b \;=\; \delta_a{}^b$ after relabelling of the indices. Equation (23) is equivalent, therefore, to the standard relation $\frac{\delta(det\ e)}{\delta e_\mu{}^a} \;=\; e^\mu{}_a\ (det\ e)$ after interpretation of the matrix $(e^\mu{}_a)$ as the inverse of the tetrad. This definition is required because of the non-symmetry of the tetrad, $e_\mu{}^a \;\neq\; e_{[a]}{}^{[\mu]}$, as a matrix with the rows labelled by space-time indices and the columns labelled by tangent-space indices.

3. Generalized Gravitational Actions in Two Dimensions

The coefficient of the $g_{\mu\nu}$ term in the field equations can be adjusted through the variation of a power of the determinant g with respect to a symmetric metric. In particular, the variational derivative

$$\frac{\delta(-g)^p}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \;=\; 2p\ (-g)^p\ g^{\mu\nu} \tag{24}$$

implies that

$$\delta\int d^Dx\ (-g)^p\ (R-2\Lambda) \;=\; \int d^Dx\ (-g)^p\ [R_{\mu\nu} \;-\; pRg_{\mu\nu} \;+\; 2p\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}]\ \delta g^{\mu\nu} \tag{25}$$

so that solutions of the equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} \; - \; p \; R \; g_{\mu\nu} \; + \; 2p \; \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \; = \; 0 \tag{26}$$

would represent extrema of the modified action.

By considering diffeomorphisms $\delta g^{\mu\nu} \; = \; \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{\mu;\nu} \; + \; \xi^{\nu;\mu})$, invariance of the modified action can be verified only for those transformations which preserve the condition of constant curvature, $\xi^{\mu} \; R_{,\mu} \; = \; 0$. In two dimensions, however, there always exists a conformal mapping from a metric on a Riemann surface to a constant curvature metric. Since an arbitrary diffeomorphism can be regarded as the product of a diffeomorphism of the type considered above and a conformal transformation, one might consider the existence of modified actions in two dimensions with conformal symmetry and restricted diffeomorphism invariance.

In particular, a function $f(x)$ may be defined to be $det(g_{\mu\nu})$ at every point x on the manifold. Under a conformal transformation $g_{\mu\nu} \; \rightarrow \; \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \; = \; e^{-2\sigma} g_{\mu\nu}$, $f(x) \; \rightarrow \; \tilde{f}(x) \; = \; e^{-2\sigma} f(x)$. Since $ln \; f(x)$ is a scalar field, its covariant derivative is an ordinary derivative rather than the special derivative associated with a function of a scalar density $\sqrt{g}$. Consequently, $\Delta_g \; ln \; f(x) \neq 0$ and

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}} \; ln \tilde{f}(x) \; = \; e^{2\sigma} \; \Delta_g \; ln \; f(x) \; - \; 4 \; e^{2\sigma} \Delta_g \sigma \tag{27}$$

so that $\int \; d^2\xi \sqrt{g} \; (R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)$ is invariant under conformal transformations. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \; d^2\xi \; \tilde{g}^p \; (\tilde{R} \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{\tilde{g}} \; ln \; f)^{2p} \; &= \; \int \; d^2\xi \; e^{-4p\sigma} \; g^p \cdot e^{4p\sigma} (R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)^{2p} \\ &= \; \int \; d^2\xi \; g^p \; (R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)^{2p} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

However, the restricted diffeomorphism invariance of the action $\int d^2\xi \; g^p \; R$ is broken by the additional term. The variation of the action (28) is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \; \int \; d^2\xi \; g^p \; (R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)^{2p} \; = \; p \; \int \; d^2\xi \; g^p \; [(R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)^{2p}]_{;\mu} \xi^{\mu} \\ - \; \frac{p}{2} \; \int \; d^2\xi \; g^p \; [(R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)^{2p-1}]^{;\nu}_{;\nu\mu} \xi^{\mu} \\ - \; p \; \int \; g^p \; R_{;\mu} \; \xi^{\mu} \; [(R \; - \; \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g \; ln \; f)^{2p-1}] \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where use of the on-shell condition $R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} R\, g_{\mu\nu}$ has been made. This expression vanishes for $p = \frac{1}{2}$, for which the integral (28) reduces to $\int d^2\xi\sqrt{g}R$, a topological invariant. While a conformal transformation can be found such that $R - \frac{1}{4}\Delta_g\, ln\, f = constant$, the variation will then be non-vanishing in general because R is not constant on this metric slice. For a restricted diffeomorphism satisfying $R_{;\mu}\xi^\mu = 0$, the first term would only vanish if $(\Delta_g\ ln\ f)_{;\mu}\xi^\mu = 0$, which is a non-trivial constraint on ξ^μ since $\Delta_g\ ln\ f$ has a gradient vector pointing in a direction different from the gradient vector of R. The vanishing of the second term in the variation (29) would lead to additional conditions on ξ^μ.

Other possible generalizations of two-dimensional gravity, which have been considered in the literature, include $f(R)$ theories [14][15].

4. A Different Form of the Gravitational Action in Four Dimensions and Diffeomorphism Invariance

In four dimensions, the modified actions $\int d^4x\ (-g)^p\ R^q$ will yield equations of motion generalizing equation (26) for $\Lambda = 0$. By adding two flat dimensions to a two-dimensional manifold, and adapting the argument given above to four-dimensional manifolds, the lack of invariance of $\int d^4x\ (-g)^p\ R^{2p}$ under the transformation $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \xi_{(\mu;\nu)}$ when $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ can be demonstrated. When $q \neq 2p$, $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the field equations will contain $qR_{\mu\nu} - pRg_{\mu\nu}$, which is not proportional to $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}$, and not covariantly constant. Thus, even under infinitesimal diffeomorphisms, $\int d^4x\ (-g)^p\ R^q$ will only be invariant when $p = \frac{1}{2}$.

The form of the field equation (26) suggests that the addition of actions with different values of p could yield a variation involving $(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu})\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ after averaging of the coefficients of $R_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$. Since

$$(-g)^{y_m} = (-g)^{y_0}[1 + (y_m - y_0)\ ln\ (-g) + \frac{(y_m - y_0)^2}{2}\ (ln\ (-g))^2 + ...\ ] \quad (30)$$

the following equality holds for the variation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \Big[\int d^4x\ x_0\ (-g)^{y_0}\ (R\ -\ 2\Lambda_0)\ +\ \int d^4x\ x_1\ (-g)^{y_1}\ (R\ -\ 2\Lambda_1)\ +\ ... \\
+\ \int d^4x\ x_n\ (-g)^{y_n}\ (R\ -\ 2\Lambda_n) \Big] \\
= \int d^4x\ (-g)^{y_0}\ (x_0\ R_{\mu\nu}\ -\ x_0\ y_0\ R\ g_{\mu\nu}\ +\ 2\ \Lambda_0\ x_0\ y_0\ g_{\mu\nu})\ \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\
+\ \int d^4x (-g)^{y_1}\ (x_1\ R_{\mu\nu}\ -\ x_1\ y_1\ R\ g_{\mu\nu}\ +\ 2\ x_1\ y_1\ \Lambda_1\ g_{\mu\nu})\ \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\
+\ ...\ +\ \int d^4x\ (-g)^{y_n}\ (x_n\ R_{\mu\nu}\ -\ x_n\ y_n\ R\ g_{\mu\nu}\ +\ 2\ x_n\ y_n\ \Lambda_n\ g_{\mu\nu})\ \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\
= \int d^4x\ (-g)^{y_0}\ [(x_0\ +\ x_1\ +\ .\ +\ x_n)\ R_{\mu\nu} \\
-\ (x_0y_0\ +\ x_1y_1\ +\ ...\ +\ x_ny_n)\ R\ g_{\mu\nu} \\
+\ 2(x_0y_0\Lambda_0\ +\ x_1y_1\Lambda_1\ +\ ...\ +\ x_ny_n\Lambda_n)\ g_{\mu\nu}]\ \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\
+\ \int d^4x(-g)^{y_0} ln(-g)[((y_1-y_0)x_1\ +\ ...\ +\ (y_n-y_0)x_n)\ R_{\mu\nu} \\
-\ (x_1y_1(y_1-y_0)\ +\ ...\ +\ x_ny_n(y_n-y_0))\ R\ g_{\mu\nu} \\
+\ 2(x_1y_1(y_1-y_0)\Lambda_1\ +\ ...\ +\ x_ny_n(y_n-y_0)\Lambda_n)\ g_{\mu\nu}]\ \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\
+\ ...
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_0\ +\ x_1\ +\ ...\ +\ x_n\ &= 1 \\
x_0y_0\ +\ x_1y_1\ +\ ...\ +\ x_ny_n\ &= \frac{1}{2} \\
-x_1y_1(y_1\ -\ y_0)\ -...-\ x_ny_n(y_n\ -\ y_0)\ &= -\frac{1}{2}[\ x_1(y_1\ -\ y_0)\ +...+\ x_n(y_n\ -\ y_0)\ ] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-y_0) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}
\tag{32}
$$

including relations for the variables $\{x_0,\ y_0, ..., x_n,\ y_n\}$ corresponding to the higher order terms $(ln(-g))^m$, one obtains variations at each order in the Taylor series expansion that are of the form

$$
\int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m \left[\frac{1}{m!}(\frac{1}{2}-y_0)^m(R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu})-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_iy_i\frac{(y_i-y_0)^m}{m!}\Lambda_i g_{\mu\nu}\right]\delta g^{\mu\nu}
\tag{33}
$$

The conditions (32) can be reformulated as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ y_0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & ... & y_n \\ y_0^2 & y_1^2 & y_2^2 & y_3^2 & ... & y_n^2 \\ y_0^3 & y_1^3 & y_2^3 & y_3^3 & ... & y_n^3 \\ y_0^4 & y_1^4 & y_2^4 & y_3^4 & ... & y_n^4 \\ y_0^5 & y_1^5 & y_2^5 & y_3^5 & ... & y_n^5 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{16} \\ \frac{1}{32} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{34}$$

Given values of $y_0,\ y_1,\ y_2,\ ...,\ y_n$, there will exist solutions to equation (34), $x_0,\ x_1,\ x_2, ...,\ x_n$, only if the rank of the $n \times \infty$ matrix is at most $n+1$. Besides the trivial solution $y_0 = y_1 = ... y_n = \frac{1}{2}$, the generic solution to the set of simultaneous equations represented by (34) involves an infinite number of coefficients $\{x_i\}$ and powers $\{y_i\}$. The existence of a solution at finite n can be determined as follows. Suppose, for example, one considers the simplest non-trivial case $y_0 = y_1 = ... = y_{q-1} = y_{q+1} = ... = y_n \neq y_q$ for some $0 \leq q \leq n$. Assuming that $n+1$ rows of the matrix, $(1, ..., 1)$, $(y_0^{m_1}, ..., y_n^{m_1})$, $..., (y_0^{m_n}, ..., y_n^{m_n})$ are linearly independent, the decomposition of the row $(y_0^l, ..., y_n^l)$ leads to the relation $C_{l0} + C_{l1} y_0^{m_1} + ... + C_{ln} y_0^{m_n} = y_0^l$ together with the subsidiary condition $C_{l0} + \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} C_{l1} + ... + \frac{1}{2^{m_n}} C_{ln} = \frac{1}{2^l}$. Defining $\lambda_q = \frac{y_q}{y_0}$, the decomposition of the qth entry gives $C_{l0} + \lambda_q^{m_1} y_0^{m_1} C_{l_1} + \ + \lambda_q^{m_2} y_0^{m_2} C_{l2} + ... + \lambda_q^{m_n} y_0^{m_n} C_{l_n} = \lambda_q^l y_0^l$ which is an equation with l complex solutions and l_R real solutions. For each row labelled by l and corresponding set of $n+1$ coefficients $\{C_{li}\}$, there exists l_R real solutions for the variable λ_q. Thus, a necessary condition for the existence of a solution to equation (34) at finite n is that the intersection of the common roots of the algebraic equations for λ_q consists of at least one real number. Finally, since each of the integrals is SL(4;R)-invariant, the sum of the integrals in (31) will be invariant under only SL(4;R) transformations unless further conditions on $x_i,\ y_i$ and Λ_i are imposed.

For an arbitrary infinitesimal diffeomorphism $\delta g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{\mu;\nu} + \xi^{\nu;\mu})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu})\xi^{\mu;\nu} \\ = \int d^4x[(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu})\xi^{\mu}]^{,\nu} \\ - 2m \int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^{m-1}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\tau\rho}(R^{\tau}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R\delta^{\tau}_{\mu})\xi^{\mu} \\ + (1-2y_0) \int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\tau\rho}(R^{\tau}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R\delta^{\tau}_{\mu})\xi^{\mu}\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Addition of the terms (33) at each order leads to a cancellation of the integrals containing $\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\tau\rho}$ and leaves integrals of total derivatives which vanish when ξ^{μ} tends to zero at spatial infinity.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}2 \int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i \frac{(y_i - y_0)^m}{m!}\Lambda_i\right) \xi^{\mu}_{;\mu} \\ = 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i \frac{(y_i - y_0)^m}{m!}\Lambda_i \cdot \Big[\int d^4x \, [(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m\xi^{\mu}]_{,\mu} \\ - 2m \int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^{m-1}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho}\xi^{\mu} \\ + (1-2y_0) \int d^4x(-g)^{y_0}(ln(-g))^m\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho}\xi^{\mu}\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

and cancellation of integrals containing $\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho}$ to all orders occurs if

$$\begin{aligned}(1-2y_0)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i y_i \Lambda_i - 2\sum_{i=1} x_i y_i (y_i - y_0)\Lambda_i &= 0 \\ (1-2y_0)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i \frac{(y_i - y_0)^m}{m!}\Lambda_i - 2(m+1)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i \frac{(y_i - y_0)^{m+1}}{(m+1)!}\Lambda_i &= 0 \\ m &\geq 1\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

¿From the relations (37), it follows that all of the cosmological constant terms in the field equations, resulting from the vanishing of the variations (33) are equal. The field equations then can be written as a single equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R\, g_{\mu\nu} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i\, y_i\, \Lambda_i g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{38}$$

The field equations (38) also can be derived from a simplified action using the identities (32). The Ricci scalar terms may be combined since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^4x \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \, (-g)^{y_i} \, R \\
&\quad = \int d^4x \left[x_0 \, (-g)^{y_0} \, R + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \, R \, (-g)^{y_0} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(y_i - y_0)^m (ln(-g))^m}{m!} \right] \right] \\
&\quad = \int d^4x \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \, (-g)^{y_0} \, R + \int d^4x \, (-g)^{y_0} \, R \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - y_0)^m \, (ln \, (-g))^m}{m!} \\
&\quad = \int d^4x \, (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, R
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The cosmological constant terms can be combined because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i (y_i - y_0)^{m+1} \Lambda_i + y_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i (y_i - y_0)^m \Lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i (y_i - y_0)^m \Lambda_i \\
&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i (y_i - y_0)^m \Lambda_i = (\frac{1}{2} - y_0) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i (y_i - y_0)^{m-1} \Lambda_i = ... \\
&\quad = \left(\frac{1}{2} - y_0 \right)^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i (y_i - y_0) \Lambda_i = \left(\frac{1}{2} - y_0 \right)^m \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \, y_i \Lambda_i
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The solution to (40) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i (y_i - y_0)^m \Lambda_i = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - y_0 \right)^m \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i y_i \Lambda_i \tag{41}$$

so that the integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int d^4x \, (-g)^{y_0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \Lambda_i + 2 \int d^4x \, (-g)^{y_0} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i (y_i - y_0)^m \Lambda_i \, \frac{(ln(-g))^m}{m!} \\
&= 2 \int d^4x \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \Lambda_i + 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int d^4x \, (-g)^{y_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} - y_0 \right)^m \frac{(ln(-g))^m}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i \Lambda_i
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

This gives

$$2 \int d^4x \, (-g)^{y_0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \Lambda_i + 4 \int d^4x \, (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i \Lambda_i - 4 \int d^4x \, (-g)^{y_0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i y_i \Lambda_i \tag{43}$$

and the first and third terms cancel because

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i(y_i - y_0)\Lambda_i \;=\; 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - y_0\right)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i y_i \Lambda_i \tag{44}$$

so that the standard action

$$\int d^4x\ (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}}\ (R\ -\ 4\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\ x_i y_i \Lambda_i) \tag{45}$$

is obtained.

5. Gravitational Perturbations and Modifications of the Action

The connection between the cosmological constant problem and general covariance of the action has been noted in the context of a different choice of gravitational action [16]-[18][19].

Suppose that there exists a region of four-dimensional asymptotically flat space-time which represents a solution of the field equations of the standard Einstein-Hilbert action $\int\ d^4x\sqrt{-g}R$ with zero cosmological constant. A perturbation of this metric, through the addition of a finite matter distribution in the space-time, for example, will lead to a finite addition to the action. The vanishing of the curvature in the asymptotically flat regions leads to finiteness of the additional curvature contributions to the action in (31), whereas the Λ_i terms in this action appear to give rise to a divergent contribution. However, one could introduce theoretically a finite number of non-zero $\{\Lambda_m\}$ by choosing $x_m\Lambda_m <= \frac{1}{V}$, where V is a regularized space-time volume.

Relaxing the requirement of infinitesimal diffeomorphism invariance temporarily, and allowing for a greater arbitrariness in the coefficients x_i and powers y_i, suggests a possible description of localized perturbations [20] through an action of the type given in (31). Each of the $SL(4;R)$-invariant integrals $I_i = \int d^4x(-g)^{y_i}(R - 2\Lambda_i)$ can be used to represent the action associated with a matter distribution localized in a region in space-time. A generic matter distribution might curve space-time in several regions, and it can be represented by $\sum_i\ x_i\ I_i$ for an appropriate choice of $\{x_i\}$ since there are enough available parameters to

solve the relation $\sum_i x_i I_i = \delta I$, where δI is the contribution of the perturbed metric to the gravitational action. Previously, deviations in the metric resulting from specified matter distributions have been described using gravitational actions containing either R or $f(R)$, and then determining the contributions to the action, to second order, for example, in the scalar, vector and tensor perturbation variables by finding the variational principles giving rise to the perturbed Einstein equations [21]. Cosmological constants were not included in these variational principles, but the methods do not preclude *a priori* the presence of a cosmological constant in the full gravitational field equations, and indeed, solutions to such equations could exist. As an example, a special type of matter distribution giving rise to a geometry with de Sitter metric inside a finite volume [22] has been mentioned in a study of the definition of the localized energy density for de Sitter space and perturbed metrics [23]. The advantage of the approach used in this section is that no *a priori* assumptions are made with respect to the magnitude of the cosmological constant terms in actions representing inhomogeneous metric perturbations, and instead, their vanishing in the large-volume limit shall be deduced.

In a universe with radius of curvature 10^{27} cm, the observational limit on the cosmological constant is 10^{-54} cm^{-2}. Consider the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 \;=\; -dt^2 \;+\; R(t)^2 \left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} \;+\; r^2(d\theta^2 \;+\; sin^2\theta \; d\phi^2) \right] \tag{46}$$

with a scale factor $R(t) \;\sim\; t^{\frac{2}{3}}$ in the matter-dominated era and $R(t) \;\sim\; t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the radiation-dominated era. When $k \;=\; 0$,

$$\int \; d^4x \; \sqrt{-g} \;=\; \int \; dt \; dr \; d\theta \; d\phi \; R^3(t) \; r^2 \; sin \; \theta \;=\; \frac{4\pi r^3}{3} \; \int \; dt \; R^3(t) \tag{47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \; d^4x \; (-g)^{y_i} \;&=\; \int \; d^4x \; [R^6(t) \cdot r^4 sin^2\theta]^{y_i} \;=\; \int \; dt dr d\theta d\phi \; R^{6y_i}(t) \cdot r^{4y_i} sin^{2y_i}\theta \\ &=\; \frac{2\pi r^{1+4y_i}}{1+4y_i} \; \int \; dt \; R^{6y_i}(t) \; \int_0^{\pi} \; sin^{2y_i}\theta \; d\theta \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

it follows that

$$\int \; d^4x \; (-g)^{y_i} \;\sim\; r^{1+4y_i} \; t^{1+4y_i} \;\sim\; V^{\frac{1+4y_i}{3}} \tag{49}$$

in the matter-dominated universe and finiteness of the action in (31) leads to the conditions

$$x_i \ \Lambda_i \ \sim \ \frac{1}{V^{\frac{1+4y_i}{3}}} \tag{50}$$

whereas

$$V^{\frac{1+3y_i}{3}} \ < \ \int \ d^4x \ (-g)^{y_i} \ \sim \ r^{1+4y_i} \ t^{1+3y_i} \ < \ V^{\frac{2(1+4y_i)}{5}} \tag{51}$$

in the radiation-dominated universe, so that

$$x_i \ \Lambda_i < \frac{1}{V^{\frac{1+3y_i}{3}}} \tag{52}$$

Thus, if there any values of y_i less than $\frac{1}{2}$, the conditions (50) and (52) allow for the possibility of $x_i \ \Lambda_i$ not decreasing as fast as $\frac{1}{V}$.

Without any restriction on the coefficients x_i and powers y_i, arbitrary localized perturbations in the metric can be described by a sum of integrals of the type $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x \ x_i (-g)^{y_i} (R - 2\Lambda_i)$ with some of the values of $x_i \ \Lambda_i$ possibly being greater than the observational limits on the cosmological constant. The $SL(4;R)$ invariance of these integrals might be regarded as sufficient to view the sum as a type of gravitational action [19]. The representations of localized perturbations by this sum allows for a more coherent resolution of the problem of their contribution to the overall cosmological constant, as it may be contrasted with $\epsilon \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}(R \ - \ 2\Lambda)$, $\epsilon \ \ll \ 1$, which cannot be directly used for their description.

Reimposing infinitesimal diffeomorphism invariance leads to the conditions (32) and (37) on x_i and y_i, which imply that the effective cosmological constant contribution to the action is $-4 \ \int \ d^4x \ (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \ x_i y_i \Lambda_i$. Finiteness of this integral requires that $\sum_i \ x_i y_i \Lambda_i \ \sim \ \frac{1}{V}$, and there are likely to be cancellations amongst the coefficients x_i corresponding to those powers $\{y_i | y_i \ < \ \frac{1}{2}\}$, so that the observational limits will again be satisfied by the terms $x_i \ \Lambda_i$ for each i. Because of the restrictions on x_i and y_i resulting from infinitesimal diffeomorphism invariance, the action in (31) is no longer relevant in the description of localized perturbations. However, the overall effect of the finite action condition is sufficient to ensure that the large-scale cosmological constant should be set to zero even in the modified version of the gravitational action.

Non-zero values of $\Lambda_i,\ i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ are feasible over a limited region of the four-dimensional space-time (with the remaining volume being described by a zero cosmological constant) or for a closed universe, because a divergence in the action is prevented by the integration of a convergent sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\ x_i y_i \Lambda_i$ over a finite four-volume. The inflationary universe [24][25] provides the standard example of the introduction of a non-zero cosmological constant over a limited four-volume.

Gravitational perturbations have successfully been described by higher-order curvature terms [21][26]. They have even been used to explain the density spectrum [27]. Nonlinear gravitational Lagrangians give rise to field equations which often have solutions that approximate solutions of the Einstein field equations and standard metrics such as that of de Sitter space have been shown to arise as an attractor in the space of solutions of higher-derivative gravity theories [28].

6. f(R) Theories and other Generalizations

Another type of generalized gravitational action which leads to the Einstein field equations with cosmological constant is characterized by a function of the Ricci scalar [29][30] [31][32]. Viewing the Ricci scalar as a function of the independent variables, $g^{\mu\nu}$, the metric, and $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$, the connection, $R\ =\ R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) g^{\mu\nu}$, the variation of the action with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ gives

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}\ \int\ d^4x\ \sqrt{-g}\ f(R) = \ \int\ d^4x\ \sqrt{-g} f'(R) \frac{\delta R}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}\ +\ \int\ d^4x\ \frac{\delta\sqrt{-g}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}\ f(R) \qquad (53)$$

so that the field equations are

$$f'(R)\ R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)\ -\ \frac{1}{2}\ f(R)\ g_{\mu\nu}\ =\ 0 \qquad (54)$$

which has trace

$$f'(R)\ R\ -\ 2\ f(R)\ =\ 0 \qquad (55)$$

If $R\ =\ c_i$ is a zero of this equation, then

$$c_i\ =\ 2\frac{f(R)}{f'(R)}\ \Big|_{R=c_i} \qquad (56)$$

and

$$R_{\mu\nu} \; - \; \frac{1}{4} \; c_i \; g_{\mu\nu} \; = \; 0 \tag{57}$$

the gravitational field equations with $\Lambda \; = \; \frac{1}{4} \; c_i$.

This technique can also be extended to functions of the Ricci tensor and Riemann curvature tensor. For example, if $S \; = \; g^{\mu\alpha} \; g^{\nu\alpha} \; R_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma) \; R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ and $L(g, \Gamma) \; = \; f(S)$ [33], then the field equations are

$$2 \; f'(S) \; R_{\alpha(\mu} R_{\nu)}{}^{\alpha} \; - \; \frac{1}{2} \; f(S) \; g_{\mu\nu} \; = \; 0 \tag{58}$$

The trace of this equation is

$$f'(S) \; S \; - \; f(S) \; = \; 0 \tag{59}$$

which has roots $c_i' \; = \; \frac{f(c_i')}{f'(c_i')}$. Substituting these values of S into (58) gives

$$R_{\alpha(\mu} \; R_{\nu)}{}^{\alpha} \; - \; \frac{1}{4} \; c_i' \; g_{\mu\nu} \; = \; 0 \tag{60}$$

Solutions of the equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} \; - \; \frac{\sqrt{c_i'}}{2} \; g_{\mu\nu} \; = \; 0 \tag{61}$$

will also be solutions of (58).

The square of the Riemann curvature tensor can be used to construct a third type of action from which the gravitational field equations can be derived. Let
$\hat{S} \; = \; g_{\mu\alpha} \; g^{\nu\beta} \; g^{\rho\gamma} \; g^{\lambda\delta} R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\lambda}(\Gamma) \; R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma)$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{\tau\sigma}} \; \int \; d^4x \; \sqrt{-g} \; f(\hat{S}) \; = \; \int \; d^4x \; \sqrt{-g} \; f'(\hat{S}) \; \frac{\delta \hat{S}}{\delta g^{\tau\sigma}} \; - \; \int \; d^4x \; \frac{1}{2} \; f(\hat{S}) \; g_{\mu\nu} \tag{62}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \hat{S}}{\delta \; g^{\tau\sigma}} \; &= \; - \, R_{(\tau}{}^{\nu\rho\lambda} \; R_{\sigma)\nu\rho\lambda} \; + \; R_{\alpha(\tau}{}^{\rho\lambda} \; R^{\alpha}{}_{\sigma)\rho\lambda} \; + \; R_{\mu\nu(\tau}{}^{\lambda} \; R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma)}{}^{\lambda} \\ &+ \; R_{\mu\nu\rho(\tau} \; R_{\sigma)}{}^{\mu\nu\rho} \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

the field equations are

$$2\ R_{(\tau}{}^{\nu\rho\lambda}\ R_{\sigma)\nu\rho\lambda}\ f'(\hat{S})\ -\ \frac{1}{2}\ g_{\tau\sigma}\ f(\hat{S})\ =\ 0 \tag{64}$$

which has trace

$$f'(\hat{S})\ \hat{S}\ -\ f(\hat{S})\ =\ 0 \tag{65}$$

The zeroes of this equation $c_i''\ =\ \frac{f(c_i'')}{f'(c_i'')}$ and the Riemann tensor satisfies

$$R_{(\tau}{}^{\nu\rho\lambda}\ R_{\sigma)\nu\rho\lambda}\ -\ \frac{1}{4}c_i''\ g_{\tau\sigma}\ =\ 0 \tag{66}$$

For a space-time with vanishing Weyl tensor, solutions of the field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu}\ -\ \frac{\sqrt{3c_i''}}{4}\ g_{\mu\nu}\ =\ 0 \tag{67}$$

will satisfy (64).

7. Higher-Order Curvature Terms in Superstring Effective Actions

The same method can be applied to higher-derivative actions obtained in string theory. Graviton amplitudes in superstring theory can be used to deduce an effective action, which receives higher-order corrections at the three-loop level. At third-order in the inverse string tension [34][35],

$$I\ =\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\ \int\ d^{10}x\ \sqrt{-g}\ \left(R\ +\ \frac{\zeta(3)}{256}\ \alpha'^3\ \tilde{Y}\ \right) \tag{68}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Y}\ =\ &\frac{1}{2}\ (R_{abcd}\ R^{abcd})^2\ +\ R^{abcd}\ R_{cdef}R^{efhg}\ R_{hgab}\ +\ 8\ R^{abcd}\ R_{bedf}\ R^{egfh}\ R_{gahc}\\ &+\ R^{abfg}\ R_{bd}{}^{hk}\ R^{de}{}_{gh}\ R_{eakf}\ -\ 8\ R_{abef}\ R^{abfg}\ R_{cdgh}\ R^{cdhe}\\ &-\ R_{abef}\ R^{ab}{}_{gh}\ R_{cd}{}^{fg}\ R^{cdhe}\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

By subtracting a term proportional to the dimensionally continued Euler form $L_{(4)}$ [36][37] [38], a different combination of curvature tensors can be used in the action

$$I\ =\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\ \int\ d^{10}x\ \sqrt{-g}\ \left(R\ +\ \frac{\zeta(3)}{16}\alpha'^3\ Y\right) \tag{70}$$

with

$$Y \;=\; \frac{1}{16}(\tilde{Y} \;-\; \frac{1}{96}\text{Ł}_{(4)}) \;=\; 2\; R_{abcd}\; R_e{}^{bc}{}_f\; R^{aghe}\; R^f{}_{gh}{}^d \;+\; R_{abcd}\; R_{ef}{}^{cd}\; R^{aghe}\; R^f{}_{gh}{}^b \quad (71)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first-order formalism are then

$$R_{\tau\sigma} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\; R\; g_{\tau\sigma} \;+\; \frac{\zeta(3)\alpha'^3}{16}\; \left[\frac{\delta Y}{\delta g^{\tau\sigma}} \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\; Y\; g_{\tau\sigma}\right] \;=\; 0 \quad (72)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta Y}{\delta g^{\tau\sigma}} \;&= 2R_{a(\tau|cd}R_{e|\sigma)}{}^c{}_f\; R^{aghe}\; R^f{}_{gh}{}^d \\ &+ 2R_{ab(\tau|d}\; R_{e|}{}^b{}_{\sigma)f}\; R^{aghe}\; R^b{}_{gh}{}^d \;+\; 2R_{abcd}\; R_e{}^{bc}{}_f\; R^{ag}{}_{(\tau|}{}^e\; R^f{}_{g|\sigma)}{}^d \\ &+ 2R^a_{b(\tau|d}\; R^e{}_{f|\sigma)}{}^d\; R_a{}^{ghe}\; R^f{}_{gh}{}^b \;+\; 2R_{abcd}R_{ef}{}^{cd}\; R^a{}_{(\tau}{}^{he}\; R^f{}_{\sigma)h}{}^b\end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

The trace of equation (72) is

$$4R \;+\; \frac{\zeta(3)\alpha'^3}{16}\; Y \;=\; 0 \quad (74)$$

When the Weyl tensor vanishes, and $R_{\mu\nu} \;=\; 9\; \kappa\; g_{\mu\nu}$, then $Y \;=\; 4320\; \kappa^4$ and equation (74) implies that

$$\kappa \;=\; -\left(\frac{4}{3\zeta(3)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\; \frac{1}{\alpha'} \quad (75)$$

and

$$R_{\mu\nu} \;=\; -\left(\frac{4}{3\zeta(3)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\; \frac{9}{\alpha'}\; g_{\mu\nu} \quad (76)$$

so that the effective cosmological constant is $-\left(\frac{4}{3\zeta(3)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\; \frac{9}{\alpha'}$. Higher orders in the expansion also produce contributions of order $\frac{1}{\alpha'}$.

A conformal transformation of the maximally symmetric solution to (76), anti-de Sitter space with cosmological constant $-\left(\frac{4}{3\zeta(3)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\; \frac{9}{\alpha'}$, to flat space exists, and redefinitions of the metric at higher orders have been shown to be sufficient to maintain the validity of the Ricci-flat condition $R_{\mu\nu} \;=\; 0$ when the target space is a Calabi-Yau manifold.

Since redefinitions of fields in a Lagrangian do not change the S-matrix, transformations of the metric, anti-symmetric field and dilaton have been used to write the effective bosonic

string action up to $O(\alpha'^2)$ [39][40][41][42], and similar techniques can be used for the type IIB superstring and heterotic string. At $O(\alpha')$ for the bosonic string, the 4-graviton amplitude requires the existence of the $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\ R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ term, but the R^2 and $R_{\mu\nu}\ R^{\mu\nu}$ terms can be removed by a metric redefinition. It is preferable to choose the Gauss-Bonnet invariant $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$ so that ghosts do not arise upon quantization [36]. The Lovelock Lagrangians represent the only combinations of Riemann tensors which give rise to field equations containing at most second-order derivatives of the metric, and they are necessary for the absence of ghosts at this order. At higher orders, there is a form of the effective action, with a minimal number of terms, such that additional terms besides the dimensionally continued Lovelock invariants [43] seem to arise. These calculations have also not been performed beyond the order at which the Lovelock Lagrangians are no longer relevant. For example, the quintic Lovelock tensor has only recently been evaluated [44]. The higher-order Lovelock Lagrangian

$$L_{(n)} \ = \ \frac{(2n)!}{2^n}\ R_{[i_1 i_2}{}^{i_1 i_2}\ R_{i_3 i_4}{}^{i_3 i_4}\ R_{i_5 i_6}{}^{i_5 i_6}\ ...\ R_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}]}{}^{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}} \tag{77}$$

only vanishes in ten dimensions when $n \geq 6$, and it is zero in twenty-six dimensions when $n \geq 14$.

The elimination of ghosts is conventionally achieved by removing all higher-order derivatives in the linearized equation for the spin-2 graviton field $h_{\mu\nu}$, so that the $h\ \nabla^{2n}\ h$, $n\ \geq\ 2$, terms are cancelled using the dimensionally continued Gauss-Bonnet terms [36][45][46] and the graviton propagator is not modified by extra powers of the momenta. The interaction terms arise at $O(h^n),\ n \geq 3$ in the expansion of the effective action. It has been established that there exists a field redefinition that removes the higher order derivatives $h\ \nabla^{2n}\ h$, $n\ \geq\ 2$, from the effective action [46] but does not affect the interaction terms. Since a more arbitrary form could be assumed for the interactions, other types of higher-derivative curvature terms are, in principle, allowed by the conditions placed on the linearized field equations, and they do appear in string effective actions. Besides considering the linearized equations, the field equations for the total metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ are of interest. The inclusion of terms other than the Lovelock invariants leads to differential equations with third-order

and higher-order derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$. If one wishes to obtain a field equation with at most second-order derivatives of the total metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, then the Lovelock invariants are required.

It remains to establish through a detailed calculation which additional higher-order curvature terms can be removed through further metric redefinitions. For example, it might be possible to adapt the technique used for removal of quadratic terms in $h_{\mu\nu}$ [46] to cubic and higher-order terms. If it is feasible to use repeated application of appropriate metric redefinitions to eliminate higher-order derivatives at $O(h^n)$, $n \geq 3$, then there may exist truncation of the series expansion in the effective action.

Such a result has been obtained in special cases. It is well-known, for example, that the Ricci-flat condition can be maintained through a metric redefinition when the target space is a Calabi-Yau manifold [47][48], with the proof making use of the property that the manifold is Kahler. Moreover, several results have been obtained for higher-derivative theories. When the Lagrangian is an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar, there exists a conformal transformation from the higher-derivative theory to the Einstein-Hilbert action with a scalar field [28][29][49], and Legendre transformations [50][51] have been used to transform Lagrangians dependent on the Ricci scalar and Ricci tensor to the Einstein-Hilbert action coupled to scalar fields and fields of up to spin 2.

Restriction to the Lovelock invariants leads to consideration of Lagrangians of the type $f(L_{(n)})$ in the first-order formalism. Defining $G^{\mu\nu}_{(n)}$ to be the variation of $\sqrt{-g}\ L_{(n)}$ with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ [52], it follows that

$$G_{(n)\mu\nu} \ = \ \frac{-1}{2^{n+1}} g_{\mu\sigma} \delta^{\sigma i_1 \ldots i_{2n}}_{\nu j_1 \ldots j_{2n}} \ R^{j_1 j_2}{}_{i_1 i_2} \ \ldots R^{j_{2n-1} j_{2n}}{}_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}} \tag{78}$$

and, specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{(0)\mu\nu} \ &= \ -\frac{1}{2}\ g_{\mu\nu} \\
G_{(1)\mu\nu} \ &= \ R_{\mu\nu} \ - \ \frac{1}{2}\ R\ g_{\mu\nu} \\
G_{(2)\mu\nu} \ &= \ 2(R_{\mu}{}^{\rho\sigma\lambda}\ R_{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \ - \ 2\ R_{\mu\rho\nu\lambda}\ R^{\lambda\rho} \ - \ 2\ R_{\mu\rho}\ R^{\rho}_{\nu} \ + \ R\ R_{\mu\nu}) \\
&\quad - \ \frac{1}{2}(R^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\ R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \ - \ 4\ R^{\mu\nu}\ R_{\mu\nu} \ + \ R^2)\ g_{\mu\nu} \\
&\ \ \vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

If the degree of the function f is r, then the field equations

$$f'(L_{(n)})\ \frac{\delta\ L_{(n)}}{\delta\ g_{\mu\nu}}\ -\ \frac{1}{2}\ g^{\mu\nu}\ f(L_{(n)})\ =\ 0 \tag{80}$$

are differential equations of degree $2r$, but they will consist of factors which contain at most second-order derivatives of the metric. The differential equations (80) can be solved by simultaneously satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\ L_{(n)}}{\delta\ g_{\mu\nu}}\ &=\ 0 \\ L_{(n)}\ &=\ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

which both represent second-order differential equations in the metric. More generally, contracting equation (80) gives

$$f'(L_{(n)})\ g^{\mu\nu}\ \frac{\partial L_{(n)}}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}\ -\ \frac{D}{2}\ f(L_{(n)})\ =\ 0 \tag{82}$$

where D is the space-time dimension. From (79), it follows that $g^{\mu\nu}\ \frac{\partial L_{(n)}}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}\ =\ d_{(n)}L_{(n)}$ for some constant $d_{(n)}$. If $L_{(n)}\ =\ c_{(n)}$ is a root of

$$d_{(n)}\ f'(L_{(n)})\ L_{(n)}\ -\ \frac{D}{2}\ f(L_{(n)})\ =\ 0 \tag{83}$$

then

$$c_{(n)}\ =\ \frac{D}{2d_{(n)}}\ \frac{f(c_{(n)})}{f'(c_{(n)})} \tag{84}$$

and the equation of motion (80) becomes

$$\frac{\delta L_{(n)}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\ -\ c_{(n)}\ d_{(n)}\ g^{\mu\nu}\ =\ 0 \tag{85}$$

Gravitational perturbations which are local and not homogeneous, giving rise to an energy-momentum tensor not proportional to the metric, have already been shown to be best described by $SL(4;R)$-invariant gravitational action terms such as those considered in §5 and higher-derivative curvature terms [21][26]. Moreover, it has been shown in §6 that the Einstein field equations with cosmological constant can be derived from $f(R)$ theories and other generalizations. Finally, redefinition of the dilaton field in the four-dimensional string effective action is known to change the value of the cosmological constant [53].

It follows that the matter distributions at both Planck scales and macroscopic scales can be represented adequately by an action which contains the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian plus higher-order curvature terms, such as those that arise in the string effective actions, and other matter Lagrangians, ultimately derived from the string theory. The cosmological constant should be regarded as a flexible variable, which can be shifted to zero using symmetry transformations, rather than as a fixed parameter.

The solutions to the resulting field equations will, in general, be represented by phases with both $\Lambda = 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$, and it may seem that the different values of Λ are equally probable. However, it has been shown that the field equations have special properties for the value $\Lambda = 0$. Specifically, all of the generalized symmetries, based on prolongations of vector fields in the space of coordinates and metrics, have been classified for the four-dimensional vacuum field equations with both $\Lambda = 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$ [54][55]. When $\Lambda = 0$ and $h_{\mu\nu}(x^a, g_{ab}, g_{ab,c_1}, ..., g_{ab,c_1,...c_k})$ are the components of a k-th order generalized symmetry of the vacuum equations, there is a constant C and a generalized vector field $X^i = X^i(x^a, g_{ab}, g_{ab,c_1}, ..., g_{ab,c_1,...,c_{k-1}})$ such that, modulo the field equations, $h_{ab} = C\, g_{ab} + \nabla_a X_b + \nabla_b X_a$ [54]. When $\Lambda \neq 0$, the uniform scale transformations do not leave the vacuum equations invariant.

This result suggests a mechanism for explaining the vanishing of Λ at classical scales. Given different phases with $\Lambda = 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$, a conformal transformation representing a time-dependent expansion, similar to the scale factor of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe, would increase the size of the $\Lambda = 0$ region relative to the sizes of the $\Lambda \neq 0$ regions. Over the course of the cosmological expansion, the dominance of the $\Lambda = 0$ region becomes apparent. From the above considerations, this mechanism is applicable to general matter distributions and effective actions containing a variety of different higher-derivative terms.

This mechanism is similar to the irreversible processes in the thermodynamics of many-body systems [56][57][58], which result from reversible processes at the microscopic level. The relation between microscopic and macroscopic physics has been considered in the con-

text of irreversible kinetic equations [58] and the Onsager repricocity relations [56]. These techniques in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics have been adapted to general relativity [59] and the cosmological constant problem using the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [60][61]. Since microscopic fluctuations are accompanied by dissipative processes in macroscopic systems, it has been suggested, for example, that dissipative particle creation in de Sitter space, represented by the non-symmetric part of the polarization tensor, leads to the diminishing of the effective cosmological constant [60].

A variant of this approach to macroscopic physics is required in the present model. Conformal transformations and field redefinitions which leave the S-matrix invariant but shift Λ in the effective action [53] can be viewed as microscopic reversible transformations $\Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2, \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_3 \ldots \Lambda_{N-1} \rightarrow \Lambda_N, \Lambda_N \rightarrow \Lambda_1, N \geq 2$.

The field equations at macroscopic levels will be modifications of the Einstein field equations. Inhomogeneous localized matter distributions are not relevant for the cosmological constant term, and from the generalized symmetries of the vacuum field equations, it will be shown that the scale invariance of the $\Lambda = 0$ equations leads to an irreversible process mapping regions with cosmological constant $\Lambda_i \neq 0$ to regions with $\Lambda = 0$. More generally, from mesoscopic to large scales, the global asymptotics of $T_{\mu\nu}$ should be compatible with dilations of the three-dimensional hypersurface metric, if it is assumed that the energy-momentum tensor is derived from a conformally invariant string theory and tends to the perfect-fluid tensor in the large-volume limit. The cosmological solutions to the field equations obviously reflect this scale invariance, and, in particular, the spatial sections of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe increase by a scale factor $R^2(t)$, while the time coordinate can be rescaled so that the entire four-dimensional metric is uniformly dilated.

The reversible microscopic transformations initially will lead to infinitesimal conformal transformations of the three-dimensional hypersurface metric ${}^{(3)}g_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow (1 + \epsilon)\, {}^{(3)}g_{\alpha\beta}$. The rescaling of the tetrad $e_{0t} \rightarrow e_{0t}$, $e_{it} \rightarrow e_{it}$, $e_{0\alpha} \rightarrow (1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{0\alpha}$, $e_{i\alpha} \rightarrow (1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{i\alpha}$ determines the transformations of the spin connection $\omega_{\mu mn} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\nu}_{m}(\partial_\mu e_{n\nu} - \partial_\nu e_{n\mu})$ $- \frac{1}{2} e^{\nu}_{n}(\partial_\mu e_{m\nu} - \partial_\nu e_{m\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} e^{\rho}_{m} e^{\sigma}_{n}(\partial_\rho e_{c\sigma} - \partial_\sigma e_{c\rho}) e^{c}_{\mu}$ and the Ricci tensor

$R_{\nu\sigma} = e^{m\mu}e^{n}_{\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}\omega_{\nu mn} - \partial_{\nu}\omega_{\mu mn} + \omega_{\mu m}{}^{c}\omega_{\nu cn} - \omega_{\nu m}{}^{c}\omega_{\mu cn})$. The transformation of the field equations, restricted to the three-dimensional hypersurface, can then be either calculated directly or deduced by noting that ${}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta}$ while the conjugate momenta to the metric, $\pi^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\delta I}{\delta\ {}^{(3)}\dot{g}_{\alpha\beta}}$, change to $(1+\epsilon)^{-1}\pi^{\alpha\beta}$, since the three-dimensional scale invariance is assumed to be a property of the effective action at mesoscopic to large scales. Transposing any conjugate momenta terms to the other side of the equation, one finds that a zero cosmological constant in the constraint equations will be maintained, while the a non-zero cosmological constant will be altered by an infinitesimal amount since $\Lambda\ {}^{(3)}g_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow (1+\epsilon)\ \Lambda\ {}^{(3)}g_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, $\Lambda = 0$ remains a fixed point in the infinitesimal time evolution of three-surface metrics in cosmological space-times, while the $\Lambda \neq 0$ sectors will be shifted by conformal transformations, and also by other field redefinitions.

Conformal transformations will dilate the regions with $\Lambda = 0$ and their existence in the macroscopic order, formed during the cosmological evolution, can be ensured by a fluctuation-enhancement theorem [57]. In this scheme, the order-parameter would define the lack of randomness in the choice of the value of Λ in the effective field equations.

This explanation for the vanishing of the cosmological constant may be contrasted with several recently proposed solutions using higher-dimensional gravity and duality in string theory. It has recently been shown that general relativity with a cosmological constant appears as an effective theory at the four-dimensional boundary of a Chern-Simons Lagrangian integrated over five-dimensional manifold. When the gauge group is SO(1,5), the action is

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{CS} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{M}_4\times R}\epsilon_{ABCDE}\left[\tilde{R}^{AB}\wedge\tilde{R}^{CD}\wedge e^{E} - \frac{2\sigma}{3L^2}\tilde{R}^{AB}\wedge e^{C}\wedge e^{D}\wedge e^{E}\right.\\
&\qquad\left. + \frac{1}{5L^4}\,e^{A}\wedge e^{B}\wedge e^{C}\wedge e^{D}\wedge e^{E}\right]\\
\tilde{R}^{AB} &= d\omega^{AB} + \omega^{A}{}_{C}\wedge\omega^{CB}
\end{aligned}
\tag{86}
$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$, L is an arbitrary length parameter, $\mathcal{M}_4$ is four-dimensional manifold with boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}_3$, e^A is vielbein and ω^{AB} is the five-dimensional spin connection [62]. By requiring the variation of the action to be zero at the boundary, and imposing conditions

on the components of the vielbein and spin connection normal to the boundary, one obtains the standard four-dimensional gravitational field equations with cosmological constant

$$\Lambda \;=\; \frac{1}{l^2} \;+\; \frac{\sigma}{3L^2} \tag{87}$$

where l is another length parameter used in the definition of the normal components of the vielbein and spin connection. The value of Λ can be set to zero, although one may note the special choice of parameters, $\sigma \;=\; -1$ and $l^2 \;=\; 3L^2$, the absence of a matter distribution and the derivation of the field equations from a classical action.

It is also of interest to note that Chern-Simons Lagrangians in odd dimensions with gauge group $SO(1, 2n-1)$ can be decomposed with respect to the group $SO(1, 2n-2)$ [63],

$$I_{CS} \;=\; 2n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \; [2(n-1-k)]! \; (-1)^{n-1-k} l^{-(2n-1-2k)} \; L_{(k)} \;+\; \textit{total der.} \tag{88}$$

so that in 5 dimensions, the sum consists of a cosmological constant term, a Ricci scalar and the quadratic Gauss-Bonnet invariant. From the variations in the first-order formalism in §6, it has been established that the higher-derivative terms introduce another cosmological constant term into the field equations for a particular set of solutions, confirming the expression (87) for Λ as a sum of two different contributions. It also follows from (88) that if the Lovelock invariants $L_{(0)}$,..., $L_{(4)}$ that appear in the 10-dimensional superstring effective action occur in the linear combination

$$10 \left[\; 8! \; l^{-9} L_{(0)} \;-\; 4 \cdot 6! \; l^{-7} \; L_{(1)} \;+\; 6 \cdot 4! \; l^{-5} \; L_{(2)} \;-\; 4 \cdot 2! \; l^{-3} \; L_{(3)} \;+\; l^{-1} \; L_{(4)} \; \right] \tag{89}$$

they will describe a Chern-Simons Lagrangian with gauge group SO(1,9).

Target-space duality has been used to relate solutions to three-dimensional string equations of motion described by space-times with $\Lambda \;\neq\; 0$ and $\Lambda \;=\; 0$ [64][65]. Breaking of a discrete symmetry such as T-duality may lead to a strict partitioning of the space of induced worldsheet metrics implying the breaking of conformal invariance, and a mechanism for inducing such symmetry breaking has yet to be developed. S-duality invariance

of the field equations derived from a four-dimensional superstring effective action has also been studied [66][67]. The duality invariance of the field equations when $\Lambda = 0$, and non-invariance when $\Lambda \neq 0$, mirrors the conformal invariance of the gravitational field equations discussed earlier. However, since S-duality is a discrete symmetry, again it may not be as useful as conformal invariance in obtaining a proof of the vanishing of the cosmological constant.

Matter fields often give rise to an effective Λ, and fine-tuning of the parameters in a cosmological model is necessary to obtain vanishing Λ [8]. A slight change in the parameters often leads to the re-appearance of the cosmological constant [7]. The path integral approach has been used previously to justify vanishing of Λ, and it is dependent on the choice of action determining the weighting of the metrics and an approximation in the summation over space-time topologies [68][69]. The Einstein-Hilbert action that is conventionally used in the path integral can be modified by additional curvature terms which appear in the superstring effective action. The higher-derivative terms that typically arise in these effective actions lead to an alternative gravitational description of the perturbations associated with the inclusion of matter fields. It is being suggested here that the symmetries in string theory, especially conformal invariance, provide an additional way of eliminating the cosmological constant at macroscopic scales. They are also likely to be relevant in a complete study of the cosmological constant problem at the quantum level, where other techniques have been developed [70][71][72].

The classical version of the cosmological constant problem considered in this paper concerns the fitting of space-time metrics, which solve $\Lambda = 0$ field equations, with realistic matter distributions. Within the context of a generalized gravity theory, presumably derived from string theory, a variational argument for the vanishing of Λ has been put forward by applying specific symmetry transformations to the action and field equations and then establishing that there is an irreversible flow to the $\Lambda = 0$ sector.

Acknowledgements.

I would like to thank Prof. D. G. Crighton, Dr. G. W. Gibbons and Prof. S. W. Hawking for their encouragement. Useful discussions with Dr F. Embacher, Dr M. Perry and Dr A. Tseytlin about higher-order curvature terms and path integrals in quantum gravity are also gratefully acknowledged. The calculations of the symmetric and non-symmetric metric variations of the action in §2 were done in 1993 under the auspices of the Research Foundation of Southern California, while the actions in two and four dimensions in §3 and §4, invariant under restricted coordinate transformations, were constructed in the first half of 1994 at Cambridge.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and I. Volovich, Il Niovo Cim. 108*B* (1993) 1313 - 1317

[2] V. H. Hamity and D. E. Barraco, Gen. Rel. Grav. 25 (1993) 461 - 471

[3] G. Magnano and L. M. Sokolowski, Phys. Rev. *D*50 (1994) 5039 - 5059

[4] R. Capovilla, Nucl. Phys. *B*373 (1992) 233 - 246

[5] I. Bengtsson and P. Peldan, Phys. Lett. *B*244 (1990) 261 - 264
I. Bengtsson, Phys. Lett. *B*254 (1991) 55 - 60
I. Bengtsson and O. Bostrom, Class. Quantum Grav. 9 (1992) L47 - L51

[6] P. Peldan, Class. Quantum Grav. 11 (1994) 1087 - 1132

[7] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61 (1989) 1 - 23

[8] S. M. Carroll, W. H. Press and E. L. Turner, Annual Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 30 (1992) 499 - 542

[9] A. Einstein and E. G. Straus, Ann. Math. 47 (1946) 731 - 741

[10] J. W. Moffat, Phys. Rev. *D*19 (1979) 3554 - 3558

[11] T. Damour, S, Deser and J. McCarthy, Phys. Rev. *D*45 (1992) 3289 - 3291 ; Phys. Rev. *D*47 (1993) 1541 - 1556

[12] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (New York: Freeman, 1973)

[13] C. Moller, *The Theory of Relativity* (Oxford:Clarendon Press, 1952)

[14] S. Mignemi and H.-J. Schmidt, Class. Quantum Grav. 12 (1995) 849 - 857

[15] S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. *D*51 (1995) 591 - 602

[16] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. $\underline{D40}$ (1989) 1048 - 1052
M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. $\underline{B222}$ (1989) 195-199

[17] M. Kreuzer, Class. Quantum Grav. $\underline{7}$ (1990) 1303 - 1317

[18] Y. J. Ng and H. van Dam, Phys. Rev. Lett. $\underline{65}$ (1990) 1972 -1974; J. Math. Phys. $\underline{32}$ (1991) 1337 -1340

[19] A. Zee, 'Remarks on the Cosmological Constant Problem' Proc. of 20th Annual Orbis Scientiae, dedicated to Dirac's 80th year, Miami, Fla., Jan. 17 - 22, 1983, ed. by S. L. Mintz and A. Perlmutter (New York: Plenum, 1985) 211 - 230

[20] N. Deruelle, J. Katz and J.-P. Uzan, 'Integral Constraints on Cosmological Perturbations and their Energy', gr-qc/9608046

[21] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. $\underline{215C}$ (1992) 203 - 233

[22] A. Einstein, Sitzungsberichte Der Königlisch Preussischen Akademie
Der Wissenschaften (1918), No. XII, 270 - 272

[23] J. W. Maluf, 'On the Distribution of Gravitational Energy in De Sitter Space', gr-qc/9608051

[24] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. $\underline{D23}$ (1981) 347 - 356
A. Linde, Phys. Lett. $\underline{B108}$ (1982) 389 - 393
A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. $\underline{48}$ (1982) 1220 - 1223

[25] A. Linde, $\underline{Particle\ Physics\ and\ Inflationary\ Cosmology}$, Contemporary Concepts in Physics, Vol. 5 (Chur: Harwood, 1990)

[26] D. S. Salopek and J. Stewart, Phys. Rev. $\underline{D51}$ (1995) 517 - 535

[27] A. Lukas, Phys. Lett. $\underline{B347}$ (1995) 13 - 20

[28] A. B. Mayer and H.-J. Schmidt, Class. Quantum Grav. 10 (1993) 2441 - 2446

[29] J. D. Barrow and A. Ottewill, J. Phys. A 16 (1983) 2757 - 2776
J. D. Barrow and S. Cotsakis, Phys. Lett. *B214* (1988) 515 - 518

[30] K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. *D39* (1989) 3159 - 3162

[31] A. Borowiec, M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and I. Volovich, Gen. Rel. Grav. 26 (1994) 637 - 644
I. V. Volovich, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993) 1827 - 1834

[32] S. Rippl, H. van Elst, R. Tavakol and D. Taylor, 'Kinematics and Dynamics of f(R) Theories of Gravity', gr-qc/9511010

[33] A. Borowiec, M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and I. V. Volovich, 'New Lagrangians for Einstein Equations and Signature Change', A.93, in
Preliminary Programme, Extended Abstracts of Plenary Lectures and Abstracts of Contributed Papers, 14th GR International Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation, Florence, Italy, 6 - 12 August 1995

[34] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. *B277* (1986) 1 - 10

[35] R. C. Myers, Nucl. Phys. *B289* (1987) 701 - 716

[36] B. Zwiebach, Phys. Lett. *B156* (1985) 315 - 317

[37] F. Müller-Hoissen, Class. Quantum Grav. 3 (1986) 665 - 667

[38] F. Müller-Hoissen, Nucl. Phys. *B337* (1990) 709 - 736

[39] M. C. Bento and N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Lett. *B190* (1987) 105 - 109

[40] A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. *B176* (1986) 92 - 98; Nucl. Phys. *B294* (1987) 383 - 411

[41] R. R. Matsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. *B185* (1987) 52 - 58; Phys. Lett. *B191* (1987) 354 - 362

[42] M. C. Bento and O. Bertolami, Phys. Lett. *B*228 (1989) 348 - 354

[43] D. R. T. Jones and A. M. Lawrence, Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields 42 (1989) 153 - 158

[44] C. C. Briggs, 'A General Expression for the Quintic Lovelock Tensor', gr-qc/9607033

[45] S. Deser and A. N. Redlich, Phys. Lett. *B*176 (1986) 350 - 354
S. Deser, Physica Scripta, Vol. T15 (1987) 138 - 142

[46] I. Jack, D. R. T. Jones and A. M. Lawrence, Phys. Lett. *B*203 (1988) 378 - 380

[47] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. *B*268 (1986) 79 - 112

[48] D. Nemeschansky and A. Sen, Phys. Lett. *B*178 (1986) 365 - 369

[49] G. Magnano, M. Ferraris and M. Francaviglia, Gen. Rel. Grav. 19 (1987) 465 - 479
H.-J. Schmidt, Astron. Nachr. 308 (1987) 183 - 188
A. Jakubiec and J. Kijowski, Phys. Rev. *D*37 (1988) 1406 - 1409

[50] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and G. Magnano, Class. Quantum Grav. 5 (1988) L95 - L99

[51] G. Magnano, M. Ferraris and M. Francaviglia, Class. Quantum Grav. 7 (1990) 557 - 570; J. Math. Phys. 31(2) (1990) 378 - 387

[52] F. Müller-Hoissen, Phys. Lett. *B*163 (1985) 106 - 110

[53] N. Kaloper, Phys. Lett. *B*336 (1994) 11 - 17

[54] I. M. Anderson and C. G. Torre, Commun. Math. Phys. 176 (3) (1996) 479 - 539

[55] L. Marchildon, 'Lie Symmetries of Einstein's Vacuum Equations in N Dimensions', A.119, in *Preliminary Programme, Extended Abstracts of Plenary Lectures and Abstracts of Contributed Papers*, 14th GR International Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation, Florence, Italy, 6 - 12 August 1995

[56] L. S. Garcia-Colin and J. L. Del Rio, Rev. Mexicana de Fisica, 39 (1993) 669 - 684

[57] M. Suzuki, 'Theory of Instability, Nonlinear Brownian Motion and Formation of Macroscopic', *Order and Fluctuations in Equilibrium and Non − Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics* ed. by G. Nicolis, G. Dewel and J. W. Turner (New York: John Wiley, 1981) 299 - 366

[58] P. Resibos, 'Entropy and Irreversibility', *Non − Equilibrium Thermodynamics, Variational Techniques and Stability*, ed. by R. J. Donnelly, R. Herman and I. Prigogine (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1966) 239 - 248

[59] G. Ludwig, 'Irreversibilität und Kosmologie', *Entstehung, Enticklung und Perspektiven der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheories* Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1966) 279 - 299

[60] E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. *D*33 (1986) 2136 - 2146

[61] E. Mottola, 'Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem in General Relativity and the Cosmological Constant' *The Physical Origins of Time Asymmetry* ed. by J. J. Halliwell, J. Perez-Mercader and W. H. Zurek (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994) 504 - 515

[62] M. Banados, 'General Relativity from five dimensional Chern-Simons theory', gr-qc/9603029

[63] F. Müller-Hoissen, Annalen der Physik, 7 (1991) 543 - 557

[64] G. Horowitz and D. L. Welsh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 328 - 331

[65] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. F. L. Barbon and Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. *B*415 (1994) 71 - 100

[66] J. Maharana and H. Singh, Phys. Lett. *B*368 (1996) 64 - 70

[67] S. Kar, J. Maharana and H. Singh, Phys. Lett. *B*374 (1996) 43 - 48

[68] S. W. Hawking, Nucl Phys. *B*144 (1978) 349 - 362

[69] S. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. *B*310 (1988) 643 - 685

[70] G. Moore, Nucl. Phys. *B*293 (1987) 139 - 188

[71] K. R. Dienes, Phys. Rev. *D*42 (1990) 2004 - 2021

[72] E. Witten, Int. J. Mod. Phys. *A*10 (1995) 1247 - 1248